



SAVI COMMUNITY PROFILES

Hamilton County Hamilton County

©Copyright 2013
The Polis Center at IUPUI



Overview



Land Area in Square Miles: 394.3

Total Population: 274,569

Year Established: 1823

Population Rank: 5

History:

County adjacent to Indianapolis-Marion County on the north and part of the Indianapolis Metropolitan Statistical Area. WILLIAM CONNER was one of the first white settlers to arrive in the area now known as Hamilton County. Conner opened a trading post with the Delaware Indians about 1801 near White River, four miles south Of present-day Noblesville. More settlers began arriving after the Indians were removed in 1818. Five years later the county was organized and named after the early American statesman Alexander Hamilton.

Transportation routes determined the location of the first settlements. Strawtown, on White River, was once an Indian village, but was laid out by white settlers in 1819 to serve travelers. Westfield was platted by Quakers in 1834 at the intersection of two roads. Farther north the town of Cicero, founded in 1835, developed as a service center for local farmers.

When railroads were constructed in the early 1850s Hamilton County towns prospered. Noblesville was chosen as the county seat in 1823, but it was not until the Peru and Indianapolis Railroad was completed in 1851 that the town attracted a number of warehouses and tradesmen. Arcadia (established 1849) was also on the Peru and Indianapolis line, while Atlanta (founded 1850s) was laid out alongside the Lake Erie and Western Railroad. The Chicago and Indianapolis Airline (Monon), completed in 1882, attracted mills and factories to Sheridan (platted 1860). The interurban arrived just after 1900, when the Union Traction

Company constructed a line through Carmel, Noblesville, Cicero, Arcadia, and Atlanta. Also on the line was a new community, HOME PLACE, platted in 1914 near College Avenue and 106th Street.

When natural gas was discovered in the eastern part of the county in the 1880s, industries moved to areas such as Noblesville to take advantage of the cheap fuel. The gas wells gave out after 1900, though some of the industries remained.

Despite moderate growth, Hamilton County was primarily rural until after World War II. In 1950 there were 28,500 residents. Ten years later the population had increased by 41 percent, followed by a 35-percent jump between 1960 and 1970. From 1970 to 1990 the number of residents doubled from 54,000 to nearly 109,000. Officials project 134,000 residents by 2000.

Southern Hamilton County, adjacent to Indianapolis, has experienced the greatest amount of commercial and residential development. As Indianapolis expanded, suburban sprawl spilled over the county line. The improvement and extension of major thoroughfares, such as Meridian Street and Keystone Avenue, and the construction of I-465 in the late 1960s and early 1970s made Hamilton County accessible. More recently, I-69 has spurred extensive commercial growth for FISHERS, while CARMEL has benefited from intensive development along U.S. 31. Northwest of Noblesville, Morse Reservoir, constructed 1953-1956, attracted its first residential subdivisions in 1971.

Change has come suddenly and dramatically for once tranquil communities such as Carmel (established 1837) and Fishers (platted 1872). In 1960 Carmel counted about 1,400 residents; by 1990 its population was 25,000. Fishers posted a population of about 600 in 1970, 2,000 in 1980, and over 7,500 in 1990.


Within the next decade an additional 10,000 new residents are expected to settle in Hamilton Proper, a development on the east side of Fishers. As available land continues to be converted to homes and offices, Noblesville and Westfield are predicted to be the next boomtowns, along with areas near the MICHIGAN ROAD (U.S. 421) in the county's southwestern section.

Not only is Hamilton County the fastest growing county in the state, in 1989 it also ranked first in median family income (\$51,000) and median price of homes (\$106,000). Most residents are employed in service industries, followed by the retail trade, and finance, insurance, and real estate. Over 53 percent commute to jobs outside the county, particularly to Marion County.

In the 1990s explosive development has created problems such as an overloaded infrastructure and crowded schools, yet Hamilton County residents hope future growth can be controlled and managed.

Demographics

Age




	Hamilton County	
	value	%
Median Age (2010)	36	
Population Under Age 5 (2010)	21,609	
Population Age 5 to 9 (2010)	24,595	8.96%
Population Age 10 to 14 (2010)	23,777	8.66%
Population Age 15 to 19 (2010)	18,444	6.72%
Population Age 18 and Over (2010)	191,631	69.8%
Population Age 20 to 24 (2010)	10,166	3.7%
Population Age 21 and Over (2010)	184,444	67.2%
Population Age 25 to 34 (2010)	35,925	13.1%
Population Age 35 to 44 (2010)	46,188	16.8%
Population Age 45 to 54 (2010)	42,532	15.5%
Population Age 55 to 59 (2010)	15,344	5.59%
Population Age 60 to 64 (2010)	12,300	4.48%
Population Age 62 and Over (2010)	30,647	11.2%
Population Age 65 to 74 (2010)	13,854	5.05%
Population Age 75 to 84 (2010)	7,049	2.57%
Population Age 85 and Over (2010)	2,786	1.02%
Population Under Age 18 (2010)	82,938	
Population Age 18 to 64 (2010)	167,942	
Population Age 65 and Over (2010)	23,689	8.63%

Gender

Age Pyramid

Age Pyramid



Hamilton County

	value	%
Female Population Under Age 5 (2010)	10,516	3.83%
Female Population Age 5 to 9 (2010)	12,080	4.4%
Female Population Age 10 to 14 (2010)	11,794	4.3%
Female Population Age 15 to 19 (2010)	8,849	3.22%
Female Population Age 20 to 24 (2010)	5,163	1.88%
Female Population Age 25 to 29 (2010)	8,919	3.25%
Female Population Age 30 to 34 (2010)	10,095	3.68%
Female Population Age 35 to 39 (2010)	11,798	4.3%
Female Population Age 40 to 44 (2010)	11,975	4.36%
Female Population Age 45 to 49 (2010)	11,833	4.31%
Female Population Age 50 to 54 (2010)	9,944	3.62%
Female Population Age 55 to 59 (2010)	7,823	2.85%
Female Population Age 60 to 64 (2010)	6,408	2.33%
Female Population Age 65 to 69 (2010)	4,436	1.62%
Female Population Age 70 to 74 (2010)	2,995	1.09%
Female Population Age 75 to 79 (2010)	2,256	0.822%
Female Population Age 80 to 84 (2010)	1,793	0.653%
Female Population Age 85 and Over (2010)	1,904	0.693%
Male Population Under Age 5 (2010)	11,093	4.04%
Male Population Age 5 to 9 (2010)	12,515	4.56%
Male Population Age 10 to 14 (2010)	11,983	4.36%
Male Population Age 15 to 19 (2010)	9,595	3.5%
Male Population Age 20 to 24 (2010)	5,003	1.82%
Male Population Age 25 to 29 (2010)	7,791	2.84%
Male Population Age 30 to 34 (2010)	9,120	3.32%
Male Population Age 35 to 39 (2010)	11,026	4.02%
Male Population Age 40 to 44 (2010)	11,389	4.15%
Male Population Age 45 to 49 (2010)	11,210	4.08%
Male Population Age 50 to 54 (2010)	9,545	3.48%
Male Population Age 55 to 59 (2010)	7,521	2.74%
Male Population Age 60 to 64 (2010)	5,892	2.15%
Male Population Age 65 to 69 (2010)	3,878	1.41%
Male Population Age 70 to 74 (2010)	2,545	0.927%
Male Population Age 75 to 79 (2010)	1,769	0.644%


Male Population Age 80 to 84 (2010)	1,231	0.448%
Male Population Age 85 and Over (2010)	882	0.321%

Households

	Hamilton County	
	value	%
Average Household Size (2010)	2.73	
Households with Children (2010)	43,166	43.2%
Households with Seniors (2010)	16,474	16.5%
Married Couple Family Households (2010)	63,100	63.2%
Single Female Householder Families with Own Children Under Age 18 (2010)	5,434	
Single Male Householder Families with Own Children Under Age 18 (2010)	2,115	
Total Households (2010)	99,835	

Language Proficiency

Language Proficiency




	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa			Hamilton County		
	value		%	value		%
Household Language Other than English or Spanish (2010)	29,108	+/- 1,048	4.4%	6,835	+/- 397	7.13%
Household Language of English (2010)	597,495	+/- 3,035	90.3%	84,973	+/- 841	88.7%
Household Language of Spanish (2010)	35,365	+/- 890	5.34%	4,027	+/- 382	4.2%

Marital Status

Marital Status

Marital Status




	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa			Hamilton County		
	value		%	value		%
Divorced Population (2010)	159,817	+/- 2,593		17,765	+/- 848	
Married Population (2010)	708,547	+/- 4,450	52.9%	127,568	+/- 1,342	65.8%
Never Married Population (2010)	399,676	+/- 3,218	29.8%	41,543	+/- 958	21.4%
Widowed Population (2010)	72,396	+/- 1,388	5.4%	7,135	+/- 430	3.68%

Race/Ethnicity

Race


Race



3.5%	African American Population	.04%	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Population
.19%	American Indian Population	1.79%	Multiple Race Population
4.8%	Asian Population	1.19%	Other Race Population
88.5%	Caucasian Population		

Hispanic Population

Hispanic Population



3.43%	Hispanic Population	96.57%	Non-Hispanic Population
-------	---------------------	--------	-------------------------

Hamilton County

value %

Minority Population (2010)	37,252	
Hispanic Population (2010)	9,426	3.43%
Non-Hispanic Population (2010)	265,143	96.6%

African American Population (2010)	9,603	3.5%
American Indian Population (2010)	529	0.193%
Asian Population (2010)	13,175	4.8%
Caucasian Population (2010)	242,985	88.5%
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Population (2010)	97	0.035%
Multiple Race Population (2010)	4,910	1.79%
Other Race Population (2010)	3,270	1.19%


Total	Hamilton County	
	value	
Total Population (2010)		274,569

Economy

Address Vacancy Statistics
Business

Business Address Vacancies

Business Address Vacancies




2.72%	Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 3 Months or less in Quarter 2
5.53%	Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 3 to 6 Months in Quarter 2
11.25%	Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 6 to 12 Months in Quarter 2
17.41%	Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 12 to 24 Months in Quarter 2
20.02%	Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 24 to 36 Months in Quarter 2
43.07%	Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for more than 36 Months in Qtr 2

	Hamilton County
	value
Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant in Quarter 2 (2010)	2,533
Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 3 Months or less in Quarter 2 (2010)	69
Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 3 to 6 Months in Quarter 2 (2010)	140
Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 6 to 12 Months in Quarter 2 (2010)	285
Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 12 to 24 Months in Quarter 2 (2010)	441
Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 24 to 36 Months in Quarter 2 (2010)	507
Business Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for more than 36 Months in Qtr 2 (2010)	1,091
Business Postal Addresses in Service in Qtr 2 that were Vacant in Previous Qtr (2010)	64
Total Business Postal Addresses in Quarter 2 (2010)	8,850

Employment Statistics
Veteran Status

Veteran Employment

Veteran Employment




	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa		Hamilton County	
	value			
Total Military Veterans Age 18-64 who are Employed (2010)	58,760	+/- 1,552	7,964	+/- 570
Total Military Veterans Age 18-64 who are Unemployed (2010)	4,451	+/- 495	250	+/- 112
Total Military Veterans Age 18-64 who are not in the Labor Force (2010)	14,967	+/- 785	1,133	+/- 232

Employed

Employment by Industry


Employment by Industry



.63%	Population Employed in Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting, And Mining
7.26%	Population Employed in Arts, Entertainment, Recreation, Accommodation and Food Services
5%	Population Employed in Construction
21.7%	Population Employed in Educational, Health and Social Services
10.99%	Population Employed in Finance, Insurance, Real Estate and Rental and Leasing
2.64%	Population Employed in Information
13.55%	Population Employed in Manufacturing
4.67%	Population Employed in Other Services (except Public Administration)
13%	Population Employed in Professional, Scientific, Management, Administrative, and Waste Mgmt Services
2.77%	Population Employed in Public Administration
10.85%	Population Employed in Retail Trade
2.25%	Population Employed in Transportation and Warehousing
.52%	Population Employed in Utilities
4.19%	Population Employed in Wholesale Trade

Employment by Occupation


Employment by Occupation



4.43%	Population with Construction, Extraction and Maintenance Occupations
.07%	Population with Farming, Fishing and Forestry Occupations
51.01%	Population with Management and Professional Occupations
6.32%	Population with Production, Transportation and Material Moving Occupations
27.13%	Population with Sales and Office Occupations
11.04%	Population with Service Occupations

Employment Status

Employment Status



25.6% Population Not in Labor Force
71.27% Total Employed Persons, Including Armed Forces
3.14% Total Unemployed Persons

	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa			Hamilton County		
	value		%			
Children Under Age 18 with Two Working Parents (2010)	189,366	+/- 3,130	44.2%	41,279	+/- 1,317	53%
Population Employed in Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting, And Mining (2010)	4,956	+/- 506		853	+/- 226	
Population Employed in Arts, Entertainment, Recreation, Accommodation and Food Services (2010)	73,546	+/- 1,993		9,804	+/- 657	
Population Employed in Construction (2010)	55,518	+/- 1,635		6,749	+/- 565	
Population Employed in Educational, Health and Social Services (2010)	175,877	+/- 2,692		29,303	+/- 995	
Population Employed in Finance, Insurance, Real Estate and Rental and Leasing (2010)	64,896	+/- 1,500		14,840	+/- 754	
Population Employed in Information (2010)	18,936	+/- 890		3,567	+/- 341	
Population Employed in Manufacturing (2010)	109,301	+/- 2,053		18,305	+/- 933	
Population Employed in Other Services (except Public Administration) (2010)	40,532	+/- 1,395		6,305	+/- 519	
Population Employed in Professional, Scientific, Management, Administrative, and Waste Mgmt Services (2010)	88,845	+/- 2,170		17,554	+/- 940	
Population Employed in Public Administration (2010)	33,114	+/- 1,215		3,737	+/- 387	
Population Employed in Retail Trade (2010)	93,496	+/- 2,210		14,652	+/- 757	
Population Employed in Transportation and Warehousing (2010)	43,150	+/- 1,518		3,033	+/- 345	
Population Employed in Utilities (2010)	6,073	+/- 505		705	+/- 182	
Population Employed in Wholesale Trade (2010)	30,903	+/- 1,189		5,656	+/- 476	
Population with Construction, Extraction and Maintenance Occupations (2010)	69,405	+/- 1,763		5,990	+/- 536	
Population with Farming, Fishing and Forestry Occupations (2010)	1,571	+/- 308		92	+/- 52.4	

Population with Management and Professional Occupations (2010)	309,304	+/- 3,437		68,894	+/- 1,419
Population with Production, Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (2010)	106,692	+/- 2,164		8,534	+/- 594
Population with Sales and Office Occupations (2010)	222,304	+/- 3,133		36,638	+/- 1,109
Population with Service Occupations (2010)	129,867	+/- 2,516		14,915	+/- 860
Total Employed Persons, Including Armed Forces (2010)	840,920	+/- 3,802	63.9%	135,333	+/- 1,410 71.3%

Labor Force

	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa			Hamilton County	
	value				
Population in the Labor Force, Including Armed Forces (2010)	911,988	+/- 3,618		141,288	+/- 1,321

Not in Labor Force


	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa			Hamilton County	
	value		%		
Population Not in Labor Force (2010)	403,480	+/- 3,465	30.7%	48,606	+/- 1,155 25.6%

Unemployed

	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa			Hamilton County	
	value		%		
Total Unemployed Persons (2010)	71,068	+/- 1,990	5.4%	5,955	+/- 549 3.14%

Income Statistics

Income




2.69%	Households with Income Less Than \$10,000	17.93%	Households with Income \$50,000 to \$74,999
7.23%	Households with Income \$10,000 to \$24,999	15.72%	Households with Income \$75,000 to \$99,999
17.47%	Households with Income \$25,000 to \$49,999	38.96%	Households with Income \$100,000 or More

	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa			Hamilton County		
	value		%	value		%
Households with Public Assistance Income (2010)	15,857	+/- 903	2.4%	838	+/- 168	0.874%
Median Family Income (2010)	66,347	+/- 575		95,376	+/- 1,794	
Median Household Income (2010)	53,085	+/- 392		81,947	+/- 1,417	
Per Capita Income (2010)	27,810	+/- 232		38,500	+/- 661	
Households with Income Less Than \$10,000 (2010)	41,127	+/- 1,429	6.21%	2,575	+/- 366	2.69%
Households with Income \$10,000 to \$24,999 (2010)	100,834	+/- 2,214		6,929	+/- 620	
Households with Income \$25,000 to \$49,999 (2010)	169,827	+/- 2,740	25.7%	16,743	+/- 823	17.5%
Households with Income \$50,000 to \$74,999 (2010)	127,780	+/- 2,438	19.3%	17,187	+/- 818	17.9%
Households with Income \$75,000 to \$99,999 (2010)	86,357	+/- 1,720	13%	15,067	+/- 706	15.7%
Households with Income \$100,000 or More (2010)	136,043	+/- 2,096	20.6%	37,334	+/- 1,192	39%

Living In Poverty


Poverty by Age

Poverty by Age



Population in Poverty

Population in Poverty



	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa			Hamilton County		
	value		%			
Families in Poverty (2010)	40,359	+/- 1,555	9.19%	2,616	+/- 399	3.6%

Families in Poverty with Related Children Under Age 18 (2010)	33,375	+/- 1,412	7.6%	2,144	+/- 352	2.95%
Population Age 5 and Under Living in Poverty (2010)	29,924	+/- 1,293	20.3%	1,239	+/- 264	4.88%
Population Age 6 to 17 Living in Poverty (2010)	45,669	+/- 1,755	15.4%	3,183	+/- 501	5.95%
Population Under Age 18 Living in Poverty (2010)	75,593	+/- 2,180		4,422	+/- 566	
Population Age 18 to 64 Living in Poverty (2010)	117,657	+/- 2,590		6,630	+/- 608	
Population Age 65 and Over Living in Poverty (2010)	12,814	+/- 709		731	+/- 204	
Population Living in Poverty (2010)	206,064	+/- 6,033		11,783	+/- 1,359	


At or Above Poverty

	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa			Hamilton County		
	value		%			
Population with Income At or Above Poverty Level (2010)	1,473,866	+/- 6,370	87.7%	248,162	+/- 1,357	95.5%

Education

Attainment

Educational Attainment




	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa			Hamilton County		
	value		%	value		%
High School Dropouts (2010)	7,694	+/- 636		308	+/- 122	
Population With High School Diploma or Higher (2010)	976,860	+/- 6,245	87.8%	160,082	+/- 2,299	96.1%
Population With Bachelor's Degree or Higher (2010)	339,850	+/- 3,538	30.5%	89,641	+/- 1,678	53.8%
Population Without High School Diploma (2010)	135,923	+/- 2,741	12.2%	6,576	+/- 557	3.95%
Population With High School Diploma Only (2010)	335,293	+/- 3,629	30.1%	28,823	+/- 995	17.3%
Population With Some College, No Degree (2010)	222,943	+/- 3,207		30,706	+/- 1,043	
Population With Associate Degree or Higher (2010)	418,624	+/- 3,943	37.6%	100,553	+/- 1,791	60.3%

Enrollment Statistics
Nonpublic


Nonpublic Enrollment by Grade

Nonpublic Enrollment by Grade



Nonpublic Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity

Nonpublic Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity




	Hamilton County
	value
Nonpublic Enrollment-Grades K-5 (2009)	1,668
Nonpublic Enrollment-Grades 6-8 (2009)	718

Nonpublic Enrollment-Grades 9-12 (2009)	699
Nonpublic Enrollment-African American (2009)	10
Nonpublic Enrollment-Asian (2009)	44
Nonpublic Enrollment-Caucasian (2009)	2,341
Nonpublic Enrollment-Hispanic (2009)	47
Nonpublic Enrollment-Indian (2009)	1
Nonpublic Enrollment-Multiracial (2009)	83


Public

Public Enrollment by Grade



Public Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity

Public Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity




3.81%	Public Enrollment-African American	2.96%	Public Enrollment-Hispanic
5.2%	Public Enrollment-Asian	.19%	Public Enrollment-Indian
83.79%	Public Enrollment-Caucasian	4.04%	Public Enrollment-Multiracial

	Hamilton County
	value
Public Enrollment-Grades K-5 (2009)	24,516
Public Enrollment-Grades 6-8 (2009)	11,989
Public Enrollment-Grades 9-12 (2009)	13,806
Public Enrollment-African American (2009)	1,936
Public Enrollment-Asian (2009)	2,643
Public Enrollment-Caucasian (2009)	42,546
Public Enrollment-Hispanic (2009)	1,505
Public Enrollment-Indian (2009)	96
Public Enrollment-Multiracial (2009)	2,053

Total (Public And Nonpublic)


Total Enrollment by Grade

Total Enrollment by Grade



Total Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity

Total Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity



	Hamilton County
	value
Total Enrollment-Grades K-5 (2009)	26,184
Total Enrollment-Grades 6-8 (2009)	12,707

Total Enrollment-Grades 9-12 (2009)	14,505
Total Enrollment-African American (2009)	1,946
Total Enrollment-Asian (2009)	2,687
Total Enrollment-Caucasian (2009)	44,887
Total Enrollment-Hispanic (2009)	1,552
Total Enrollment-Indian (2009)	97
Total Enrollment-Multiracial (2009)	2,136

Special Program Enrollment

	Hamilton County	
	value	%
Students Eligible for Free Lunch Program (130% of Poverty) (2009)	4,506	8.26%
Students Eligible for Free and Reduced Lunch Programs (185% of Poverty) (2009)	6,380	11.7%
Students Eligible for Reduced Lunch Program (131-185% of Poverty) (2009)	1,874	

Environment

Air Quality

	Hamilton County
	value
Percent of Days Where Air Quality was Reported as Good (2008)	79.4
Percent of Days Where Air Quality was Reported as Moderate (2008)	19
Percent of Days Where Air Quality was Reported as Unhealthy (2008)	0
Percent of Days Where Air Quality was Reported as Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups (2008)	1.63

Emissions Total

	Hamilton County
	value
Total Ammonia Emissions (2002)	742.1
Total Carbon Monoxide Emissions (2002)	74,038
Total Nitrogen Oxides Emissions (2002)	10,390
Total Particulate Matter Smaller than 10 Micrometers Emissions (2002)	12,699
Total Particulate Matter Smaller than 2.5 Micrometers Emissions (2002)	2,159
Total Sulfur Dioxide Emissions (2002)	4,939
Total Volatile Organic Compound Emissions (2002)	10,332

Health

Birth Statistics Total

	Hamilton County
	value
All Live Births (2003)	3,637

Age of Parent

	Hamilton County
	value
Births Where Mother is Age 10 to 14 (County Level Only) (2003)	2
Births Where Mother is Age 15 to 17 (County Level Only) (2003)	22
Births Where Mother is Age 18 to 19 (County Level Only) (2003)	93

Birth Defects

	Hamilton County
	value
All Birth Defects per 10,000 Live Births (2005)	330.7

Death Statistics All Deaths

	Hamilton County	
	value	%
All Deaths (2003)	948	
Deaths by Accident (2003)	35	
Deaths by Cancer (County Level Only) (2003)	207	
Deaths by Heart Disease (County Level Only) (2003)	344	
Deaths by Homicide (2003)	3	
Deaths by Motor Vehicle Accident (County Level Only) (2003)	14	
Deaths by Suicide (2003)	23	2.43%


Disabilities

	Hamilton County
	value
Youth Age 5 to 15 with a Disability (2000)	1,595
Youth Age 5 to 15 with a Disability, Living in Poverty (2000)	83
Adults Age 16 and Over with a Disability (2000)	17,666
Adults Age 16 and Over with a Disability, Living in Poverty (2000)	1,306
Working Age Adults Age 16 to 64 with a Disability (2000)	13,270

Working Age Adults Age 16 to 64 with a Disability, Living in Poverty (2000)	1,037
Working Age Adults Age 16 to 64 with a Mobility Disability (2000)	3,078
Working Age Adults Age 16 to 64 with an Employment Disability (2000)	8,768
Unemployed Working Age Adults Age 16 to 64 with a Mobility Disability (2000)	1,580
Unemployed Working Age Adults Age 16 to 64 with an Employment Disability (2000)	2,104
Seniors Age 65 and Over with a Disability (2000)	4,396
Seniors Age 65 and Over with a Disability, Living in Poverty (2000)	269

Health Care
Health Insurance

Health Insurance Coverage



	Hamilton County		
	value		%
Population Under 65 with Health Insurance Coverage (2006)	215,947	+/- 4,316	89.2%
Population Under 65 with no Health Insurance Coverage (2006)	26,106	+/- 3,857	10.8%

Housing


Address Vacancy Statistics
All

	Hamilton County
	value
All Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant in Quarter 2 (2010)	14,228
Total Postal Addresses in Quarter 2 (2010)	125,816

Residential

Residential Address Vacancies

Residential Address Vacancies




8.53%	Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 3 Months or less in Quarter 2
3.05%	Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 3 to 6 Months in Quarter 2
6.62%	Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 6 to 12 Months in Quarter 2
13.58%	Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 12 to 24 Months in Quarter 2
18.44%	Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 24 to 36 Months in Quarter 2
49.78%	Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for more than 36 Months in Qtr 2

	Hamilton County
	value
Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant in Quarter 2 (2010)	11,695
Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 3 Months or less in Quarter 2 (2010)	997
Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 3 to 6 Months in Quarter 2 (2010)	357
Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 6 to 12 Months in Quarter 2 (2010)	774
Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 12 to 24 Months in Quarter 2 (2010)	1,588
Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for 24 to 36 Months in Quarter 2 (2010)	2,157
Residential Postal Addresses Identified as Vacant for more than 36 Months in Qtr 2 (2010)	5,822
Residential Postal Addresses in Service in Qtr 2 that were Vacant in Previous Qtr (2010)	724
Total Residential Postal Addresses in Quarter 2 (2010)	113,887

Monthly Costs - Renter Occupied

Monthly Costs - Renter Occupied




2.37%	Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of Less than \$200
2.15%	Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$200 to \$299
11.58%	Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$300 to \$499
32.07%	Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$500 to \$699
36.39%	Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$700 to \$999
12.35%	Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$1000 to \$1499
3.09%	Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$1500 or More

	Hamilton County
	value
Median Monthly Rental Housing Costs (2000)	709
Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of Less than \$200 (2000)	283
Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$200 to \$299 (2000)	257
Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$300 to \$499 (2000)	1,384
Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$500 to \$699 (2000)	3,834
Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$700 to \$999 (2000)	4,351
Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$1000 to \$1499 (2000)	1,477
Renter Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$1500 or More (2000)	369

Monthly Costs - Owner Occupied


Monthly Costs - Owner Occupied



- .03% Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of Less than \$200
- .09% Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$200 to \$299
- 1.45% Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$300 to \$499
- 3.64% Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$500 to \$699
- 16.91% Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$700 to \$999
- 37.41% Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$1000 to \$1499
- 40.48% Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$1500 or More

Housing Value

Housing Value



- .68% Owner Occupied Housing Units with Value of Less Than \$50,000
- 10.27% Owner Occupied Housing Units with Value of \$50,000 to \$99,999
- 30.39% Owner Occupied Housing Units with Value of \$100,000 to \$149,999
- 23.86% Owner Occupied Housing Units with Value of \$150,000 to \$199,999
- 34.8% Owner Occupied Housing Units with Value of \$200,000 or More

Hamilton County

value


Median Owner-Occupied Housing Value (2000)

166,300

Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of Less than \$200 (2000)	12
Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$200 to \$299 (2000)	38
Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$300 to \$499 (2000)	605
Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$500 to \$699 (2000)	1,517
Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$700 to \$999 (2000)	7,056
Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$1000 to \$1499 (2000)	15,612
Mortgaged Owner Occupied Housing Units with Monthly Housing Costs of \$1500 or More (2000)	16,891
Owner Occupied Housing Units with Value of Less Than \$50,000 (2000)	330
Owner Occupied Housing Units with Value of \$50,000 to \$99,999 (2000)	4,991
Owner Occupied Housing Units with Value of \$100,000 to \$149,999 (2000)	14,767
Owner Occupied Housing Units with Value of \$150,000 to \$199,999 (2000)	11,593
Owner Occupied Housing Units with Value of \$200,000 or More (2000)	16,908


Housing Unit Statistics Vacant Units

Housing Occupancy



	Hamilton County
	value
Vacant Housing Units (2010)	6,937

Age of Structure



	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa		Hamilton County
	value	%	
Median Year Structure was Built (2010)	1,976	+/- 1.00	1,994 +/- 1.00
Number of Housing Units Whose Structure Was Built in 1939 or Earlier (2010)	102,227	+/- 1,883	4,860 +/- 420
Number of Housing Units Whose Structure Was Built in 1940 to 1949 (2010)	37,547	+/- 1,162	1,334 +/- 237
Number of Housing Units Whose Structure Was Built in 1950 to 1959 (2010)	81,740	+/- 1,741	3,276 +/- 415
Number of Housing Units Whose Structure Was Built in 1960 to 1969 (2010)	89,883	+/- 1,927	4,825 +/- 476
Number of Housing Units Whose Structure Was Built in 1970 to 1979 (2010)	103,280	+/- 2,109	11,819 +/- 605
Number of Housing Units Whose Structure Was Built in 1980 to 1989 (2010)	83,621	+/- 1,773	12,938 +/- 663
Number of Housing Units Whose Structure Was Built in 1990 to March 2000 (2010)	126,992	+/- 2,348	29,090 +/- 959
Number of Housing Units Whose Structure Was Built in 2000 or Later (2010)	122,884	+/- 2,049 16.4%	34,228 +/- 1,067 33.4%

Owner Occupied Units

	Hamilton County	
	value	%


Owner Occupied Units (2010)	79,932	80.1%
Renter Occupied Units	Hamilton County	
	value	%
Renter Occupied Units (2010)	19,903	19.9%

Housing Units	Hamilton County	
	value	
Total Housing Units (2010)	106,772	

Transportation & Mobility


Commuting Statistics

Commuting



Carpooling

Carpooling




8.09% Workers who Carpooled 91.91% Workers who Drove Alone

	Indianapolis-Carmel Msa			Hamilton County		
	value		%	value		%
Non-Car Commuters (2010)	30,473	+/- 1,444		2,672	+/- 353	
Occupied Housing Units with No Vehicle Available (2010)	36,769	+/- 1,321	5.55%	1,677	+/- 316	1.75%
Workers who Carpooled (2010)	74,976	+/- 2,345		9,870	+/- 828	
Workers who Drove Alone (2010)	685,477	+/- 3,509	83.4%	112,139	+/- 1,291	84.4%
Workers Who Used Other Means of Transportation (2000)				1,356		1.43%
Workers Who Walked to Work (2010)	13,298	+/- 945		1,187	+/- 271	
Workers who Drove a Car, Truck or Van (2010)	760,453	+/- 4,220	92.6%	122,009	+/- 1,534	91.9%
Workers who Used Public Transportation (2010)	8,611	+/- 795	1.05%	434	+/- 130	0.327%
Workers who Worked at Home (2010)	30,590	+/- 1,193	3.72%	8,113	+/- 612	6.11%

Mobility

Mobility



1.32% Population Living in Different House Outside US
 20.11% Population Living in Different House and County, Same State
 14.1% Population Living in Different House and State
 18.37% Population Living in Different House, Same County
 46.1% Population Living in Same House

Hamilton County

value	%
89,476	53.9%
2,199	1.33%
33,375	20.1%
23,412	14.1%
30,490	18.4%
76,514	

Population Living in Different House (2000)
 Population Living in Different House Outside US (2000)
 Population Living in Different House and County, Same State (2000)
 Population Living in Different House and State (2000)
 Population Living in Different House, Same County (2000)
 Population Living in Same House (2000)

Data Sources

History: *The Encyclopedia of Indianapolis* (Indiana University Press, 1994) Edited by David J. Bodenhamer and Robert G. Barrows.

U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census

U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 5-year Averages

Department of Housing and Urban Development

Indiana Business Research Center/Indiana Dept of Education

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Air Quality System (AQS)

Indiana Business Research Center

Indiana State Department of Health

U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census Data (SF3 Data)

U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey



Every effort is made to provide accurate information within the SAVI database, and while the information has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, the accuracy, completeness, and opinions based thereon, are not guaranteed. As such, SAVI and their data source providers make no warranty about the accuracy of the data and are not responsible for derived products or resulting analysis based on data obtained from SAVI. As always, SAVI and the original data source provider (e.g., US Census Bureau) should be cited when publishing maps or presenting the results of analysis that are based on these data.